CNNIC comments on AoC Review Requirements & Implementation Processes

Review Team Size

Notwithstanding the theory adopted in the draft proposal to support a small review team, the strict RT size constraint is a great concern to fairly represent PUBLIC Interest of all stakeholders. The new AoC put PUBLIC interest as the number one element among the four key commitments, it is important that the review team size and composition will follow that principle while being developed.

First Review Team Deadline

Extension of RFC deadline for draft AoC Review Requirements & Implementation Processes had proved the community needs more time to be better involved to make this review a success. The election and composition of the Accountability and Transparency Review Team should follow carefully designed process, and be allowed enough time as needed. Current process shows lack of time and detailed procedures on how the first RT is set up given the fact that RT member application is accepted until Feb. 17, and results is due on Feb. 20 (tentatively according to call-for-applicants-11jan10-en.pdf).

AC/SO Volunteer Criteria & Endorsement Process

As described in the call-for-applicants-11jan10-en.pdf, "Interested individuals are asked to apply through their SO/AC by emailing to rtcandidatures@icann.org". The endorsement process is left undefined and could cause confusion. ICANN and AC/SO should coordinate and clarify a clear process on the endorsement and RT member application.

Public Interest

Public Interest is somewhat lack of clear definition by ICANN. As proposed and agreed in other comments, PUBLIC Interest refers to individual users and registrant. ICANN's AoC emphasizes serving PUBLIC Interest, and ICANN needs to clarify its interpretation.