New Record	
id	2231
Completed	2013-05-24 12:46:25
Last page	11
Start language	en
Date started	2013-05-24 12:16:16
Date last action	2013-05-24 12:46:25
Please tell us about yourself: [Name][]	Darlene Thompson
Please tell us about yourself: [Email][]	thompson.darlene@gmail.com
Please tell us about yourself: [Affiliation][]	At Large
What region do you live in?	North America
Question 1(A): On a scale of 1 to 10 (1 meaning not at all and 10 meaning fully), please indicate the level to which the ICANN Board and staff have effectively, transparently, and fully implemented the recommendations of the ATRT1.	7
Question 1(B): Please provide specific information as to why you believe specific recommendations have or have not been effectively, transparently, and fully implemented.	I think that things have been improving but that more needs to be done.
Question 1(C): What metrics do you believe would be appropriate to measure effectiveness, transparency, and completeness of recommendation implementation? Question 2(A): On a scale of 1 to 10 (1	
meaning not at all and 10 meaning fully), please indicate to what level the implementation of the ATRT1 recommendations have resulted in the desired improvements in ICANN.	7
Question 2(B): Please provide specific information as to why you believe the recommendations have or have not resulted in improvements.	Financial transparency has improved.
Question 2(C): What metrics do you believe would be appropriate to measure improvements?	
Question 3(A): On a scale of 1 to 10 (1 meaning not at all and 10 meaning fully), what is your assessment of how ICANN's Board is continually assessing and improving	5

its governance as specified in the Affirmation	
¶ 9.1 (a)?	
Question 3(B): Are there issues related to this provision you believe should be addressed or investigated by the ATRT2? If you answered "yes", please provide specific information and suggestions for improving Board governance.	Yes
Question 3(B): Are there issues related to this provision you believe should be addressed or investigated by the ATRT2? If you answered "yes", please provide specific information and suggestions for improving Board governance comment	More information needs to be available to the public as to what methods are being used by the Board to assess its governance.
Question 3(C): What metrics do you believe would be appropriate to measure whether ICANN's Board is continually assessing and improving its governance?	
Question 4(A): Are you aware of the process through which ICANN Board Members are nominated/elected?	Yes
Question 4(B): On a scale of 1 to 10 (1 meaning not at all and 10 meaning fully), please indicate how well the Board follows clear rules and proceedings in its operation and decision-making.	5
Question 4(C): On a scale of 1 to 10 (1 meaning not at all and 10 meaning fully), please indicate whether you believe the Board makes decisions in a transparent way.	5
Question 4(D): On a scale of 1 to 10 (1 meaning no idea and 10 meaning full understanding), please indicate your understanding of the Board's rationale for taking decisions and giving advice.	6
Question 4(E): What should the ATRT2 ask the Board specifically to change in the way it normally works?	
Question 4(F): Which metrics would allow you to better follow up the Board's work?	
Question 4(G): Do you think Directors should stay for longer/shorter terms?	Current terms are satisfactory
Question 4(G): Do you think Directors should stay for longer/shorter terms? - comment	

Question 4(H): For individual members do	
you see any source of potential conflict with	No
the rest of the community?	
Question 4(I): If you answered "yes" to 4(H),	
on a scale of 1 to 10 (1 meaning not at all and	
10 meaning completely), please indicate how	
effective you believe the existing conflict of	7
interest declarations/recusal mechanisms are	
at preventing actual conflicts.	
Question 5(A): On a scale of 1 to 10 (1	
meaning none and 10 meaning fully	
sufficient), please indicate your view of the	
level in which the Board takes the necessary	10
care and dedicates enough time for discussion	
_	
relating to GAC advice.	
Question 5(B): What metrics would be	
appropriate to measure the level of this care	
and/or dedication of time?	
Question 6(A): On a scale of 1 to 10 (1	
meaning not at all and 10 meaning fully),	
please indicate your assessment of the role	10
and effectiveness of the GAC and its	10
interaction with the Board as specified in the	
Affirmation \P 9.1(b).	
Question 6(B): Are there issues related to this	
provision you believe should be addressed or	
investigated by the ATRT2? If you answered	
"yes", please provide specific information	No
and suggestions for improving the role and	
effectiveness of the GAC and its interaction	
with the Board.	
Question 6(B): Are there issues related to this	
provision you believe should be addressed or	
investigated by the ATRT2? If you answered	
"yes", please provide specific information	
and suggestions for improving the role and	
effectiveness of the GAC and its interaction	
with the Board comment	
Question 6(C): What metrics do you believe	
would be appropriate to measure GAC	
effectiveness?	
Question 7(A): Are you aware how the process under which the GAC members are	No
appointed?	INO
	4
Question 7(B): On a scale of 1 to 10 (1	4

11 110 110	
meaning not at all and 10 meaning fully)	
please indicate your view of the transparency	
of GAC decisions.	
Question 7(C): On a scale of 1 to 10 (1	
meaning not at all and 10 meaning fully),	
please indicate your understanding of the	7
GAC's rationale for taking decisions and	
giving advice to the Board.	
Question 7(D): What should the ATRT2	
specifically ask the GAC to change in the	
way they normally work?	
Question 7(E): What metrics would allow	
you to better follow up the GAC's work?	
Question 7(F): For individual GAC members	
do you see any source of potential conflict	N/A
with the Board and the rest of the	1 1/1
community?	
Question 7(G): If you answered "yes" to 7(F),	
on a scale of 1 to 10 (1 meaning not at all and	
10 meaning completely), please indicate how	
effective you believe the existing mechanisms	
are at preventing actual conflicts.	
Question 8(A): On a scale of 1 to 10 (1	
meaning not at all and 10 meaning fully),	
please indicate your view of the level to	
which the GAC has done a good job in terms	4
of checks and balances on the accountability	
and transparency of ICANN as a whole.	
Question 8(B): What metrics do you believe	
would be appropriate to measure GAC's	
performance in this role of providing checks	
and balances on the accountability and	
transparency of ICANN as a whole?	
Question 9(A): On a scale of 1 to 10 (1	
meaning unacceptable and 10 meaning fully	
sufficient), what is your assessment of the	_
μ ,	8
input and whether ICANN is continually	
assessing and improving these processes as	
specified in the Affirmation ¶ 9.1 (c)?	
Question 9(B): Are there issues related to this	
provision you believe should be addressed or	
investigated by the ATRT2? If you answered	
"yes", please provide specific information	
and suggestions for improving the processes	
1 0 1	I .

by which ICANN receives public input.	
Question 9(B): Are there issues related to this	
provision you believe should be addressed or	
investigated by the ATRT2? If you answered	
"yes", please provide specific information	
and suggestions for improving the processes	
by which ICANN receives public input	
comment	
Question 10(A): On a scale of 1 to 10 (1	
meaning unacceptable, 10 meaning	0
excellent), please indicate how easy it is to	8
put forward new public inputs to ICANN.	
Question 10(B): How easy is it over the	¥.7
course of a year?	Very easy
Ouestion 10(C): When did you last use the	0 4 1
public comment mechanism?	9 months - 1 year
Question 10(D): On a scale of 1 to 10 (1	
meaning unacceptable, 10 meaning	
excellent), how would you rate ICANN	
staff's work in processing public input	6
transparently and publicizing its possible	
impact?	
Question 10(E): On a scale of 1 to 10 (1	
meaning "unacceptable" and 10 meaning	
"excellent"), please rate ICANN staff in	
helping the community identify the pros and	6
cons of those inputs in a clear and transparent	
way?	
Question 10(F): How do you think the overall	
public input process can be improved?	
Question 11(A): On a scale of 1 to 10 (1	
meaning unacceptable and 10 meaning	
excellent), please rate your view of the	0
sufficiency and transparency of	8
communication between the different	
SO/ACs on public inputs.	
Question 11(B): On a scale of 1 to 10, (1	
meaning "acceptable" and 10 meaning	
"excellent") how would you rate the chances	8
for discussions between the different SO/AC	
during the public meetings?	
Question 12(A): On a scale of 1 to 10 (1	
meaning not at all, 10 meaning fully), please	5
indicate your assessment of the extent to	

which ICANN's decisions are embraced, supported and accepted by the public and the Internet community as specified in the Affirmation ¶ 9.1(d)? Question 12(B): If applicable, provide specific example(s) when ICANN decisions were or were not embraced, supported and accepted by the public and the Internet	
community? Question 12(C): Are there issues related to this provision you believe should be addressed or investigated by the ATRT2? If you answered "yes", please provide specific information and suggestions for improving the acceptance of ICANN decisions by the public and the Internet community.	
Question 12(C): Are there issues related to this provision you believe should be addressed or investigated by the ATRT2? If you answered "yes", please provide specific information and suggestions for improving the acceptance of ICANN decisions by the public and the Internet community comment	
Question 13: As a percentage, please indicate your view of the chances for a revision of Board's decisions since the ATRT1.	30.000000000
Question 14(A): How do you embrace, support or accept the decisions of the ICANN Board, for example, do you embrace the decisions of the Board after an internal review of it in your community and/or working group?	
Question 14(B): Have you asked for a review of Board decision? If "yes", which ones?	No
Question 14(B): Have you asked for a review of Board decision? If "yes", which ones? - comment	
Question 15(A): On a scale of 1 to 10 (1 meaning not at all and 10 meaning fully), please provide your assessment of whether the policy development process in ICANN facilitates enhanced cross-community deliberations and effective and timely policy development as specified in the Affirmation ¶	8

0.17.2	
9.1(e)?	
process in ICANN did or did not facilitate	ICANN can only do so much in this regard. Its then up to each community's willingness to work with others.
Question 15(C): Are there issues related to this provision you believe should be addressed or investigated by the ATRT2? If you answered "yes", please provide specific information and suggestions for improving the policy development process to facilitate cross-community deliberations and effective and timely policy development.	
Question 15(C): Are there issues related to this provision you believe should be addressed or investigated by the ATRT2? If you answered "yes", please provide specific information and suggestions for improving the policy development process to facilitate cross-community deliberations and effective and timely policy development comment	
Question 16(A): On a scale of 1 to 10 (1 meaning not at all and 10 meaning fully), please provide your assessment of ICANN staff adherence to the policy decisions of the ICANN policy development process in its operational activities.	9
Question 16(B): On a scale of 1 to 10 (1 meaning not at all and 10 meaning fully), please indicate the level to which ICANN staff has been accountable to the ICANN community in its activities.	9
either make new policy or replace existing	At-Large staff has done an excellent job at providing the community with information as to what existing policy is and the correct ways to implement change when the community desires. They ALWAYS encourage and provide the means for the community to consult.
Question 16(D): Are there specific accountability issues the ATRT2 should explore related to ICANN staff's interactions with the Community policy development	

process? If you answered "yes", provide	
specific accountability issues the ATRT2	
should explore related to ICANN staff's	
interactions with the Community policy	
development process.	
Question 16(D): Are there specific	
accountability issues the ATRT2 should	
explore related to ICANN staff's interactions	
with the Community policy development	
process? If you answered "yes", provide	
specific accountability issues the ATRT2	
should explore related to ICANN staff's	
interactions with the Community policy	
development process comment	
Question 17(A): On a scale of 1 to 10 (1	
meaning not at all and 10 meaning fully),	
please indicate the level to which the ICANN	
μ	
Board and staff have effectively,	
transparently, and fully implemented the	
recommendations of the SSRRT.	
Question 17(B): Please provide specific	
information as to why you believe the	
recommendations have or have not been	
effectively, transparently, and fully	
implemented.	
Question 17(C): What metrics do you believe	
would be appropriate to measure	
effectiveness, transparency, and completeness	
of recommendation implementation?	
Question 18(A): On a scale of 1 to 10 (1	
meaning not at all and 10 meaning fully),	
please indicate the level to which the	
implementation of the SSRRT	
recommendations has resulted in the desired	
improvements in ICANN.	
Question 18(B): Please provide specific	
information as to why you believe the	
recommendations have or have not resulted in	
improvements.	
Question 18(C): What metrics do you believe	
1 -	
would be appropriate to measure	
improvements?	
Question 19(A): On a scale of 1 to 10 (1	
meaning not at all and 10 meaning fully),	7
please indicate the level to which the ICANN	
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

D 1 1 001 00 1 1	
Board and staff have effectively,	
transparently, and fully implemented the	
recommendations of the WHOISRT.	
Question 19(B): Please provide specific	
information as to why you believe the	
recommendations have or have not been	
effectively, transparently, and fully	
implemented.	
1	
Question 19(C): What metrics do you believe	
would be appropriate to measure	
effectiveness, transparency, and completeness	
of recommendation implementation?	
Question 20(A): On a scale of 1 to 10 (1	
meaning not at all and 10 meaning fully,	
please indicate the level to which the	
implementation of the WHOISRT	3
recommendations has resulted in the desired	
improvements in ICANN.	
Question 20(B): Please provide specific	
information as to why you believe the	
recommendations have or have not resulted in	
improvements.	
Question 20(C): What metrics do you believe	
would be appropriate to measure	
improvements?	
Question 21(A): How do you evaluate overall	
accountability and transparency of the	
ICANN processes?	
-	
Question 21(B): On a scale of 1 to 10 (1	
meaning none and 10 meaning fully), how	
······································	8
community in accountability and	
transparency issues?	
Question 21(C): Are there other issues that	
should be addressed or investigated by the	
ATRT2 consistent with its mandate? If you	
answered "yes", please provide specific and	
detailed descriptions of any such issues along	
with an explanation as to why such issues	
should be addressed by the ATRT2.	
•	
Question 21(C): Are there other issues that	
should be addressed or investigated by the	
ATRT2 consistent with its mandate? If you	
answered "yes", please provide specific and	
detailed descriptions of any such issues along	
answered "yes", please provide specific and	

with an avalanction as to why such issues	
with an explanation as to why such issues should be addressed by the ATRT2	
comment	
Question 22(A): Are there other questions we	
should be asking consistent with the mandate	
of the ATRT? If you answered "yes", please	
provide questions we should be asking	
consistent with the mandate of the ATRT.	
Question 22(A): Are there other questions we	
should be asking consistent with the mandate	
of the ATRT? If you answered "yes", please	
provide questions we should be asking	
consistent with the mandate of the ATRT	
comment	
Question 22(B): If you recommended	
questions in 22(A), how would you answer	
those questions?	
Question 23(A): On a scale of 1 to 10 (1	
meaning not at all and 10 meaning fully),	
please rate the effectiveness and efficiency of	9
the Affirmation of Commitment review team	
processes.	
Question 23(B): Please provide specific	
information as to why you believe the	
Affirmation review team processes have or	
have not been effective and efficient.	
Question 23(C): What metrics do you believe	
would be appropriate to measure ATRT	
effectiveness and/or efficiency?	
Question 24(A): Have you/your community	
had sufficient time to review the Affirmation	
of Commitment Review Team's	Yes
recommendations and ICANNs	
implementation of the recommendations?	
Question 24(B): If you answered "no" to	
24(A), how much time do you believe is	
necessary?	