

CWG-Stewardship 2nd Draft Proposal Input Template

The CWG-Stewardship has developed a template to facilitate your input on the 2nd Draft Proposal as well as subsequent review by the CWG-Stewardship. Use of the template is strongly encouraged, but not required. This template provides the opportunity for general input on the proposal as well as specific comments per section. Please note that there is no obligation to complete all of the sections – commenters may respond to as many or as few as they wish. Following your completion of the template, please save the document and submit it as an attachment to the public comment forum (comments-cwg-stewardship-draft-proposal-22apr15@icann.org). The CWG-Stewardship looks forward to receiving your feedback.

1. Please provide your name:
[MAEMURA Akinori](#)
2. Please provide your affiliation:
[Japan Network Information Center \(JPNIC\)](#)
3. Are you providing input on behalf of another entity (e.g. organization, company, government)? Yes/No
[No](#)
4. If you answered ‘yes’ to the previous question, please list the entity on whose behalf you are submitting these questions:

General Comments

5. If you have any general comments you would like to provide on the CWG-Stewardship Proposal, please provide these here.

[JPNIC would like to congratulate CWG-Stewardship colleagues on the big achievement to compile this proposal after December 2014 draft and throughout huge discussion until now. We found the PTI scheme described in the new draft is very reasonable, simple enough, comprehensible from the existing scheme and compatible with proposals from Numbers and Protocol Parameters communities.](#)

[The inputs below are in general to support, which are put in blue, with a couple of comments to be considered, in red.](#)

Section I - The Community's Use of IANA

6. Do you have any specific comments or input you would like to provide with regards to section I - The Community's Use of the IANA? Section I lists the specific, distinct

IANA services or activities the naming community relies on.

If so, please provide your comments here.

[The section is accurate to describe the community's use of IANA](#)

If applicable, please reference the sub-section your comment relates to.

Section II - Existing Pre-Transition Arrangements

7. Do you have any specific comments or input you would like to provide with regards to section II - Existing Pre-Transition Arrangements? This section describes how existing IANA-related arrangements work, prior to the transition.

If so, please provide your comments here.

[The section is accurate to describe the Existing Pre-Transition Arrangement.](#)

If applicable, please reference the sub-section your comment relates to.

Section III - Proposed Post-Transition Oversight and Accountability

8. Do you have any specific comments or input you would like to provide with regards to section III.A - Elements of this Proposal? This section describes in short the main elements of the proposed post-transition oversight and accountability.

If so, please provide your comments here.

[The section is accurate and succinct to provide the overall framework of the proposal.](#)

If applicable, please reference the sub-section your comment relates to.

9. Do you have any specific comments or input you would like to provide with regards to section III.A.i - Proposed Post-Transition Structure. This section provides an overview of the different elements of the proposed post-transition structure.

If so, please provide your comments here.

We agree on the community's satisfaction on the current IANA service and required elements for IANA function post-transition. The framework with proposed PTI is well designed especially on following points:

- 1) Simple and clear separation on the policymaking and operational functions which has been sometimes not clear enough until now.
- 2) Utilization of the conventional contract model to ensure stable operation of IANA function. It will benefit in making full use of the existing framework of the current IANA contract to stipulate the obligation which IFO must bear.
- 3) Names community will gain the similar framework with IFO to Numbers and Protocol Parameters communities, which benefits them in entering the similar contractual relation with IFO for IANA service for them and in having the integration of three proposals in a favorable shape.

If applicable, please reference the sub-section your comment relates to.

10. Do you have any specific comments or input you would like to provide with regards to section III.A.i.a. - Post-Transition IANA (PTI). This section describes the proposed post-transition IANA.

If so, please provide your comments here.

It is reasonable to have PTI as a wholly owned subsidiary of ICANN because it will still give PTI a sufficient separation from ICANN as the policymaking body for Names while ICANN provides needed financial support for PTI to operate as the IFO.

If applicable, please reference the sub-section your comment relates to.

11. Do you have any specific comments or input you would like to provide with regards to section III.A.i.b. - Post-Transition IANA Board. This section describes the proposed Board for the post-transition IANA.

If so, please provide your comments here.

It is reasonable for PTI Board to have the minimum statutorily required responsibilities. We agree on the reasons which are described in this section.

If applicable, please reference the sub-section your comment relates to.

12. Do you have any specific comments or input you would like to provide with regards to section III.A.i.c. - IANA Statement of Work. This section describes the proposed IANA Statement of Work, including proposed carryover provisions.

If so, please provide your comments here.

Carrying over the existing provisions of the current IANA Functions Contract to the SOW of PTI is reasonable and will contribute stable and smooth transition from the existing scheme to that post-transition.

If applicable, please reference the sub-section your comment relates to.

13. Do you have any specific comments or input you would like to provide with regards to section III.A.i.d. - IANA Function Review. This section describes the proposed periodic as well as special review of the IANA Function.

If so, please provide your comments here.

It is reasonable to have IFR for IANA function to evolve, and Special Review to addressing issues emerging time to time.

If applicable, please reference the sub-section your comment relates to.

14. Do you have any specific comments or input you would like to provide with regards to section III.A.ii.a. - Customer Standing Committee (CSC). This section describes Customer Standing Committee that is expected to oversee performance of the IANA Functions as they relate to naming services.

If so, please provide your comments here.

It is adequate that CSC's performance review on IFO will trigger the consideration of ccNSO and/or GNSO.

If applicable, please reference the sub-section your comment relates to.

15. Do you have any specific comments or input you would like to provide with regards to section III.A.ii.b. - Service Level Expectations. This section describes the proposed service level expectations post-transition.

If so, please provide your comments here.

If applicable, please reference the sub-section your comment relates to.

16. Do you have any specific comments or input you would like to provide with regards to section III.A.ii.c. - Escalation mechanisms. This section describes the different proposed escalation mechanisms as they relate to the naming services.

If so, please provide your comments here.

If applicable, please reference the sub-section your comment relates to.

17. Do you have any specific comments or input you would like to provide with regards to section III.A.ii.d. - Separation review. This section describes the separation review that can be triggered by an IANA Function Review if needed

If so, please provide your comments here.

If applicable, please reference the sub-section your comment relates to.

18. Do you have any specific comments or input you would like to provide with regards to section III.A.ii.e. - Framework for transition to successor IANA Operator. This section describes the proposed framework for a transition to a successor IANA Operator to ensure continuity of operations.

If so, please provide your comments here.

If applicable, please reference the sub-section your comment relates to.

19. Do you have any specific comments or input you would like to provide with regards to section III.A.iii.a. - Proposed changes to root zone environment and relationship with root zone maintainer. This section describes the proposed changes to the root zone environment and the relationship with the Root Zone Maintainer.

If so, please provide your comments here.

We agree that no external authorization is needed for changes to the Root Zone Content and the associated WHOIS database.

For changes to the Root Zone Management Architecture and Operation, a replacement of the approval function is definitely needed. We would suggest a new advisory committee within ICANN to deal with Root Zone Management Architecture and Operation which consists of the experts, and suggest CWG-Stewardship to provide an expected scheme in the proposal.

We agree that the separation between the IFO and the Root Zone Maintainer should be kept during the transition and be considered post-transition as one of next steps based on better understanding on the new IFO operations.

If applicable, please reference the sub-section your comment relates to.

20. Do you have any specific comments or input you would like to provide with regards to section III.A.iv.a. - ccTLD Delegation Appeals. This section describes the proposed recommendation in relation to a ccTLD delegation appeals mechanism.

If so, please provide your comments here.

We appreciate the research described in Annex O and agree that ccTLD Delegation Appeals would be considered as one of next steps.

If applicable, please reference the sub-section your comment relates to.

21. Do you have any specific comments or input you would like to provide with regards to section III.A.iv.b. - IANA Budget. This section describes the recommendations in relation to the IANA Budget.

If so, please provide your comments here.

If applicable, please reference the sub-section your comment relates to.

22. Do you have any specific comments or input you would like to provide with regards to section III.A.iv.c. - Regulatory and legal obligations. This section describes the regulatory and legal obligations post-transition and how these are expected to be met.

If so, please provide your comments here.

If applicable, please reference the sub-section your comment relates to.

23. Do you have any specific comments or input you would like to provide with regards to section III.B. - Implications for the interface between the IANA Functions and existing policy arrangements. This section describes the expected implications for the interface between the IANA Functions and existing policy arrangements as a result of the proposed transition arrangements.

If so, please provide your comments here.

If applicable, please reference the sub-section your comment relates to.

Section IV - Transition Implications

24. Do you have any specific comments or input you would like to provide with regards to section IV. - Transition Implications. This section is expected to describe the CWG-Stewardship views as the implications of the changes it proposed in Section III.

If so, please provide your comments here.

If applicable, please reference the sub-section your comment relates to.

Section V - NTIA Requirements

25. Do you have any specific comments or input you would like to provide with regards to section V. - NTIA Requirements. This section is expected to describe how the proposal community's proposal meets these requirements and how it responds to the global interest in the IANA functions.

If so, please provide your comments here.

If applicable, please reference the sub-section your comment relates to.

Section VI - Community Process

26. Do you have any specific comments or input you would like to provide with regards to section VI. - Community Process. This section is expected to describe This section should describe the process the community used for developing this proposal.

If so, please provide your comments here.

If applicable, please reference the sub-section your comment relates to.

[No input provided below for the Annexes](#)

Annexes

27. Do you have any specific comments or input you would like to provide with regards to section Annex A - The Community's Use of the IANA - Additional Information.

If so, please provide your comments here.

If applicable, please reference the sub-section your comment relates to.

28. Do you have any specific comments or input you would like to provide with regards to section Annex B - Oversight mechanisms in the NTIA IANA Functions Contract.

If so, please provide your comments here.

If applicable, please reference the sub-section your comment relates to.

29. Do you have any specific comments or input you would like to provide with regards to section Annex C - Principles and criteria that should underpin decisions on the transition of NTIA Stewardship for names functions.

If so, please provide your comments here.

If applicable, please reference the sub-section your comment relates to.

30. Do you have any specific comments or input you would like to provide with regards to section Annex D - Xplane Diagram.

If so, please provide your comments here.

If applicable, please reference the sub-section your comment relates to.

31. Do you have any specific comments or input you would like to provide with regards to section Annex E - IANA Contract provisions to be carried over post-transition.

If so, please provide your comments here.

If applicable, please reference the sub-section your comment relates to.

32. Do you have any specific comments or input you would like to provide with regards to section Annex F - IANA Function Reviews.

If so, please provide your comments here.

If applicable, please reference the sub-section your comment relates to.

33. Do you have any specific comments or input you would like to provide with regards to section Annex G - Proposed charter of the customer standing committee (CSC).

If so, please provide your comments here.

If applicable, please reference the sub-section your comment relates to.

34. Do you have any specific comments or input you would like to provide with regards to section Annex H - Service level expectations.

If so, please provide your comments here.

If applicable, please reference the sub-section your comment relates to.

35. Do you have any specific comments or input you would like to provide with regards to section Annex I - IANA Customer Service Complaint Resolution Process for Naming Related Functions.

If so, please provide your comments here.

If applicable, please reference the sub-section your comment relates to.

36. Do you have any specific comments or input you would like to provide with regards to section Annex J - IANA Problem Resolution Process (for IANA naming services only).

If so, please provide your comments here.

If applicable, please reference the sub-section your comment relates to.

37. Do you have any specific comments or input you would like to provide with regards to section Annex K - Root Zone Emergency Process.

If so, please provide your comments here.

If applicable, please reference the sub-section your comment relates to.

38. Do you have any specific comments or input you would like to provide with regards to section Annex L - Separation Review.

If so, please provide your comments here.

If applicable, please reference the sub-section your comment relates to.

39. Do you have any specific comments or input you would like to provide with regards to section Annex M - Framework for transition to a successor IANA operator.

If so, please provide your comments here.

If applicable, please reference the sub-section your comment relates to.

40. Do you have any specific comments or input you would like to provide with regards to section Annex N - Proposed changes to root zone environment and relationship with root zone maintainer.

If so, please provide your comments here.

If applicable, please reference the sub-section your comment relates to.

41. Do you have any specific comments or input you would like to provide with regards to section Annex O - ccTLD Appeals Mechanism Background and Supporting Findings.

If so, please provide your comments here.

If applicable, please reference the sub-section your comment relates to.

42. Do you have any specific comments or input you would like to provide with regards to section Annex P - IANA Operations Cost Analysis.

If so, please provide your comments here.

If applicable, please reference the sub-section your comment relates to.

43. Do you have any specific comments or input you would like to provide with regards to section Annex Q - IANA Budget.

If so, please provide your comments here.

If applicable, please reference the sub-section your comment relates to.

Other Comments

44. Are there any other comments or issues you would like to raise for the consideration of the CWG-Stewardship?