

## COMPLETE

Collector: Web Link 1 (Web Link)

Started: Monday, December 21, 2015 3:23:42 AM Last Modified: Monday, December 21, 2015 4:23:46 AM

Time Spent: 01:00:04

#### **PAGE 2: Personal Information**

| Q1: Name                    | Yoichi Kanda                                    |
|-----------------------------|---|
| Q2: Affiliation             | Ministry of Internal Affairs and Communications |
| Q3: Responding on behalf of | Goverment of Japan                              |

## PAGE 3: Recommendation 1

Q4: Is establishing an Empowered Community for enforcing Community Powers a solution that is acceptable to you?(Please see Annex 1 - Recommendation #1: Establishing An Empowered Community For Enforcing Community Powers for more information)

Yes, I support this recommendation.,

#### Comment

We have no particular objection to establishing an Empowered Community for enforcing Community Powers including GAC participation in it. On the other hand, it should be noted that GAC is not a decision making body, as prescribed in the GAC Operating Principles, and consequently it should be prudent in exercising its power. In the implementation phase, within GAC members, careful consideration should be given to exercising a voting right.

### PAGE 4: Recommendation 2

Q5: Is empowering the community through consensus: engage, escalate, enforce a solution that is acceptable to you?(Please see Annex 02 - Recommendation #2: Empowering The Community Through Consensus: Engage, Escalate, Enforce for more information)

Yes, I support this recommendation.

## PAGE 5: Recommendation 3

Q6: Is redefining ICANN's Bylaws as 'Standard Bylaws' and 'Fundamental Bylaws' a solution that is acceptable to you? (Please see Annex 03 - Recommendation #3: Redefining ICANN's Bylaws As 'Standard Bylaws' And 'Fundamental Bylaws' for more information)

Yes, I support this recommendation.

#### PAGE 6: Recommendation 4

Q7: Is ensuring community involvement in ICANN decision-making: seven new Community Powers a solution that is acceptable to you? (Please refer to Annex 04: Details on Recommendation 4: Ensuring Community Involvement In ICANN Decision-Making: Seven New Community Powers for more information)

Yes, I support this recommendation.

#### PAGE 7: Recommendation 5

Q8: Is changing aspects of ICANN's Mission,
Commitments and Core Values a solution that is
acceptable to you?(Please refer to Annex 05 - Details on
Recommendation #5: Changing Aspects Of ICANN's
Mission, Commitments And Core Values for more
information)

Yes, I support this recommendation.,

#### Comment

The proposed Bylaw language is acceptable although somewhat lengthy compared with the current concise and inclusive one.

#### PAGE 8: Recommendation 6

Q9: Is reaffirming ICANN's commitment to respect internationally recognized human rights as it carries out its Mission a solution that is acceptable to you?(Please refer to Annex 06 - Recommendation #6: Reaffirming ICANN's Commitment to Respect Internationally Recognized Human Rights as it Carries Out Its Mission for more information)

Yes, I support this recommendation.

#### PAGE 9: Recommendation 7

Q10: Is strengthening ICANN's Independent Review Process a solution that is acceptable to you?(Please refer to Annex 07: Recommendation 7: Strengthening ICANN's Independent Review Process for more information)

Yes, I support this recommendation.

#### PAGE 10: Recommendation 8

Q11: Is fortifying ICANN's request for reconsideration process a solution that is acceptable to you?(Please refer to Annex 08 - Recommendation #8: Improving ICANN's Request For Reconsideration Process for more information)

Yes, I support this recommendation.

#### PAGE 11: Recommendation 9

Q12: Is incorporation of the Affirmation of Commitments a solution that is acceptable to you?(Please refer to Annex 09 - Recommendation #9: Incorporation of the Affirmation of Commitments for more information)

Yes, I support this recommendation.

## PAGE 12: Recommendation 10

Q13: Is enhancing the accountability of Supporting Organizations and Advisory Committees a solution that is acceptable to you?(Please refer to Annex 10 - Recommendation #10: Enhancing the Accountability of Supporting Organizations and Advisory Committees for more information)

Yes, I support this recommendation.

#### PAGE 13: Recommendation 11

Q14: Is Board obligations regarding GAC Advice (Stress Test 18) a solution that is acceptable to you?(Please refer to Annex 11 - Recommendation #11: Board obligations regarding GAC Advice)

Yes, I support this recommendation.,

#### Comment

Overall, the recommendation 11 (Stress Test 18) seems to be a well-balanced proposal which reflects the current practice of GAC. What is important at this stage is whether GAC can continue to play its current role under the amended Bylaws. In this regard, there is no changed on the point that the Board is obliged to find a mutually acceptable solution when it rejects a GAC advice approved by a full GAC consensus. It should also be mentioned that the current GAC Operating Principles require GAC to work on the basis of seeking consensus among its membership. Furthermore, thanks to the additional threshold, the aforementioned kind of advice may not be rejected as long as a vote of the Board does not exceed twothirds. Taking these account, we support this recommendation.

## PAGE 14: Recommendation 12

Q15: Is committing to further accountability work in Work Stream 2 a solution that is acceptable to you? (Please refer to Annex 12 - Recommendation #12: Committing to further accountability work in Work Stream 2)

Yes, I support this recommendation.

#### **PAGE 15: Additional Information**

# CCWG-Accountability Draft Proposal on Work Stream 1 Recommendations

Q16: Please submit comments you have in addition to the information provided above, including on NTIA criteria, CWG-Stewardship requirements and Stress Tests.

Respondent skipped this question