


#75



COMPLETE

Collector: Web Link 1 (Web Link)

Started: Monday, December 21, 2015 2:28:08 PM

Last Modified: Monday, December 21, 2015 2:35:05 PM

Time Spent: 00:06:57

PAGE 2: Personal Information

Q1: Name Carolina Aguerre

Q2: Affiliation LACTLD

Q3: Responding on behalf of LACTLD Board

PAGE 3: Recommendation 1

Q4: Is establishing an Empowered Community for enforcing Community Powers a solution that is acceptable to you?(Please see Annex 1 - Recommendation #1: Establishing An Empowered Community For Enforcing Community Powers for more information)

Yes, I support this recommendation.,

Comment

The LACTLD Board supports the empowered community and the mechanisms for enforcing community powers as provided in the proposal though the Sole Designator Model. It also accepts the threshold levels proposed and these should be considered a minimal operation platform.

PAGE 4: Recommendation 2

Q5: Is empowering the community through consensus: engage, escalate, enforce a solution that is acceptable to you?(Please see Annex 02 - Recommendation #2: Empowering The Community Through Consensus: Engage, Escalate, Enforce for more information)

Yes, I support this recommendation.,

Comment

The LACTLD Board also supports the engagement, escalation and enforcement process. As recommendation for WS 2 when the time of implementation comes, the work on engagement processes should specially consider linguistic barriers to enhance awareness and meaningful participation, essential perquisites for the work of an empowered community.

PAGE 5: Recommendation 3

Q6: Is redefining ICANN's Bylaws as 'Standard Bylaws' and 'Fundamental Bylaws' a solution that is acceptable to you?(Please see Annex 03 - Recommendation #3: Redefining ICANN's Bylaws As 'Standard Bylaws' And 'Fundamental Bylaws' for more information)

Yes, I support this recommendation.,

Comment

The LACTLD Board is highly supportive of the redefinition proposed in the document between Standard and Fundamental Bylaws.

PAGE 6: Recommendation 4

Q7: Is ensuring community involvement in ICANN decision-making: seven new Community Powers a solution that is acceptable to you? (Please refer to Annex 04: Details on Recommendation 4: Ensuring Community Involvement In ICANN Decision-Making: Seven New Community Powers for more information)

Yes, I support this recommendation.,

Comment

The Board of LACTLD supports the inclusion of additional clarification on the role of operating communities served by the IANA functions in acceptance or rejection of the IANA Functions Budget. It recommends further clarity on the role of IANA customers in the particular issue of the IANA Functions Budget and further consultation to the IANA customers in the implementation phase of WS2 for the particular subject of the IANA Functions Budget and community mechanisms for acceptance and rejection with particular consideration for the direct IANA customers / operators.

PAGE 7: Recommendation 5

Q8: Is changing aspects of ICANN's Mission, Commitments and Core Values a solution that is acceptable to you?(Please refer to Annex 05 - Details on Recommendation #5: Changing Aspects Of ICANN's Mission, Commitments And Core Values for more information)

Yes, I support this recommendation.,

Comment

We support the changes proposed inasmuch as they serve to classify and refine the different components. In particular we find it is particularly relevant to distinguish in the bylaws the Commitment and Core Values and most importantly the specification of ICANN's scope and remit which does not encompass DNS - content regulation.

PAGE 8: Recommendation 6

Q9: Is reaffirming ICANN's commitment to respect internationally recognized human rights as it carries out its Mission a solution that is acceptable to you?(Please refer to Annex 06 - Recommendation #6: Reaffirming ICANN's Commitment to Respect Internationally Recognized Human Rights as it Carries Out Its Mission for more information)

Yes, I support this recommendation.,

Comment

The LACTLD Board is supportive of the approach to explicitly acknowledge the respect for Human Rights in its mission so as to safeguard the integrity and the openness of the Internet.

PAGE 9: Recommendation 7

Q10: Is strengthening ICANN's Independent Review Process a solution that is acceptable to you?(Please refer to Annex 07: Recommendation 7: Strengthening ICANN's Independent Review Process for more information)

Yes, I support this recommendation.,

Comment

The LACTLD Board supports the proposed approach for the IRP but recommends further consistency in the wording and implementation following the definition of its scope in paragraph 228. [A minor comment: paragraph 230, third bullet point needs to be amended to reflect the change from the Sole Member to Sole Designator model].

PAGE 10: Recommendation 8

Q11: Is fortifying ICANN's request for reconsideration process a solution that is acceptable to you?(Please refer to Annex 08 - Recommendation #8: Improving ICANN's Request For Reconsideration Process for more information)

Yes, I support this recommendation.,

Comment

The LACTLD Board supports the measures proposed to strengthen the ICANN's request for reconsideration process.

PAGE 11: Recommendation 9

Q12: Is incorporation of the Affirmation of Commitments a solution that is acceptable to you?(Please refer to Annex 09 - Recommendation #9: Incorporation of the Affirmation of Commitments for more information)

Yes, I support this recommendation.,

Comment

The LACTLD Board supports the incorporation of the Affirmation of Commitments reviews into the Bylaws.

PAGE 12: Recommendation 10

Q13: Is enhancing the accountability of Supporting Organizations and Advisory Committees a solution that is acceptable to you?(Please refer to Annex 10 - Recommendation #10: Enhancing the Accountability of Supporting Organizations and Advisory Committees for more information)

Yes, I support this recommendation.,

Comment

The Board of LACTLD is highly supportive of the measures proposed to enhance SO and AC accountability. For the implementation phase further clarification should be provided on these principles and mechanisms, particularly considering the mechanisms to enforce and redress accountability for the SOs and ACs.

PAGE 13: Recommendation 11

Q14: Is Board obligations regarding GAC Advice (Stress Test 18) a solution that is acceptable to you?(Please refer to Annex 11 - Recommendation #11: Board obligations regarding GAC Advice)

Yes, I support this recommendation.,

Comment

The LACTLD Board supports the recommendations with respect to GAC advice.

PAGE 14: Recommendation 12

Q15: Is committing to further accountability work in Work Stream 2 a solution that is acceptable to you? (Please refer to Annex 12 - Recommendation #12: Committing to further accountability work in Work Stream 2)

Yes, I support this recommendation.,

Comment

The Board of LACTLD supports the recommendations of further accountability work in the implementation phase by WS2.

PAGE 15: Additional Information

Q16: Please submit comments you have in addition to the information provided above, including on NTIA criteria, CWG-Stewardship requirements and Stress Tests.

Respondent skipped this question
