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Q4: Is establishing an Empowered Community for enforcing Community Powers a solution that is acceptable to you? (Please see Annex 1 - Recommendation #1: Establishing An Empowered Community For Enforcing Community Powers for more information)
No, I do not support this recommendation.
Comment
I support an empowered community but unfortunately the composition of the empowered community in the 3rd draft proposal is seriously flawed. In particular, the inclusion of the GAC is a major alteration of its role in ICANN and giving the ALAC an equal vote with the SOs is also a major shift of influence in ICANN.
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Q5: Is empowering the community through consensus: engage, escalate, enforce a solution that is acceptable to you? (Please see Annex 02 - Recommendation #2: Empowering The Community Through Consensus: Engage, Escalate, Enforce for more information)
Yes, I support this recommendation.
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Q6: Is redefining ICANN’s Bylaws as ‘Standard Bylaws’ and ‘Fundamental Bylaws’ a solution that is acceptable to you? (Please see Annex 03 - Recommendation #3: Redefining ICANN’s Bylaws As ‘Standard Bylaws’ And ‘Fundamental Bylaws’ for more information)
Yes, I support this recommendation.
| Question                                                                 | Support
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------|
| Q7: Is ensuring community involvement in ICANN decision-making: seven new Community Powers a solution that is acceptable to you? (Please refer to Annex 04: Details on Recommendation 4: Ensuring Community Involvement In ICANN Decision-Making: Seven New Community Powers for more information) | Yes, I support this recommendation.
| PAGE 7: Recommendation 5                                                |        |
| Q8: Is changing aspects of ICANN's Mission, Commitments and Core Values a solution that is acceptable to you? (Please refer to Annex 05 - Details on Recommendation #5: Changing Aspects Of ICANN's Mission, Commitments And Core Values for more information) | Yes, I support this recommendation.
| PAGE 8: Recommendation 6                                                |        |
| Q9: Is reaffirming ICANN's commitment to respect internationally recognized human rights as it carries out its Mission a solution that is acceptable to you? (Please refer to Annex 06 - Recommendation #6: Reaffirming ICANN's Commitment to Respect Internationally Recognized Human Rights as it Carries Out Its Mission for more information) | Yes, I support this recommendation.
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| Q10: Is strengthening ICANN's Independent Review Process a solution that is acceptable to you? (Please refer to Annex 07: Recommendation 7: Strengthening ICANN's Independent Review Process for more information) | Yes, I support this recommendation.
| PAGE 10: Recommendation 8                                               |        |
| Q11: Is fortifying ICANN's request for reconsideration process a solution that is acceptable to you? (Please refer to Annex 08 - Recommendation #8: Improving ICANN's Request For Reconsideration Process for more information) | Yes, I support this recommendation.
| PAGE 11: Recommendation 9                                               |        |
Q12: Is incorporation of the Affirmation of Commitments a solution that is acceptable to you? (Please refer to Annex 09 - Recommendation #9: Incorporation of the Affirmation of Commitments for more information)
No, I do not support this recommendation.
Comment
The Affirmation of Commitments is a historical artifact of NTIA control of ICANN and should not be institutionalized. It contains policy commitments, e.g. regarding Whois, that illegitimately constrain the community’s policy development authority.

Q13: Is enhancing the accountability of Supporting Organizations and Advisory Committees a solution that is acceptable to you? (Please refer to Annex 10 - Recommendation #10: Enhancing the Accountability of Supporting Organizations and Advisory Committees for more information)
No, I do not support this recommendation.

Q14: Is Board obligations regarding GAC Advice (Stress Test 18) a solution that is acceptable to you? (Please refer to Annex 11 - Recommendation #11: Board obligations regarding GAC Advice)
No, I do not support this recommendation.
Comment
By allowing the GAC to "refine" (i.e., change) its operating procedures in a way that redefines what is considered an objection, this procedure opens the door to GAC advice that has less than full consensus.

Q15: Is committing to further accountability work in Work Stream 2 a solution that is acceptable to you? (Please refer to Annex 12 - Recommendation #12: Committing to further accountability work in Work Stream 2)
Yes, I support this recommendation.

Q16: Please submit comments you have in addition to the information provided above, including on NTIA criteria, CWG-Stewardship requirements and Stress Tests.
Respondent skipped this question