Introduction

MarkMonitor welcomes the opportunity to reply to comments on ICANN’s Revisions to Rights Protection Mechanism (RPM) Requirements.1 In response to questions concerning MarkMonitor’s previous comments2, and comments filed by other community stakeholders, we offer the following.

Comments

Proposal on notice of Sunrise period

MarkMonitor maintains that a mandatory 30-day notice period before Sunrise period begins is an important tool to facilitate awareness and enable effective participation of trademark owners in the new gTLD registration process. This requirement was an important part of the Trademark Clearinghouse: Strawman Solution, which was supported by MarkMonitor3.

Some proposed Registry Operators, however, have stated that they prefer to run a 60-day Sunrise period, in which they accept registration for all 60 days, and provide no notice prior to this period beginning4. MarkMonitor accepts that a 60-day registration period is preferable for brand owners, but vastly prefers that the 60-day period follows a 30-day notice period. In the event that Registry Operators are unwilling to provide 30-day notice on top of an extended 60-day Sunrise period, we can support a zero notice, 60-day Sunrise if the following safeguards are assured:

- No names are allocated until the end of the 60-day Sunrise period.
- All identical requests for second-level domain names are placed in contention on equal grounds for resolution through the Registry specific SDRP.
- Timing of requests for Sunrise registration will play no role in deciding the outcome of SDRPs.
- Trademarks which have been entered into or validated by the Clearinghouse after the start of the 60-day Sunrise period are not prejudiced in any way.

---

Proposal for exemption procedure

MarkMonitor understands and appreciates the concerns outlined by some GeoTLDs in their comments\(^5\), regarding allocation of second-level domain names to government authorities. MarkMonitor can support a specific exemption procedure for emergency services, and government offices (as non-prohibitive examples), but cannot accept a blanket priority registration period before Sunrise, without a narrowly tailored set of criteria to define what can be registered during this time.

It seems to us that the needs of cities and other geographic regions can be served with clearly defined registration policies, applicable to everyone at all stages of the registration process, which would give superior rights to certain second-level names without the need to implement a broad exception procedure that could lead to abusive practices.
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