

The Universal Postal Union (UPU) takes this opportunity to express its support for the comments submitted by Radix Registry and others, particularly in what pertains to the extension of proposed review mechanisms to other categories of disputes such as the **Community Objections** defined in article 3 of the New gTLD Dispute Resolution Procedure (hereinafter the "DRP").

As a community objector against the applied-for string .MAIL, the UPU and its represented community have been directly impacted by procedural errors and inconsistent determinations made by the assigned Expert Panel, as already presented to the International Centre for Expertise of the International Chamber of Commerce (ICC).

While acknowledging the fact that, according to the **substantive** portion of the determination, the UPU had successfully passed all cumulative tests conducted pursuant to the DRP, the ICC Expert Panel ruled in a manner which culminated in an unfavourable case determination against the UPU.

The UPU supports the establishment of a review mechanism analogous to the fundamental legal principle of a "second level of jurisdiction", by which disputes may be re-examined at a higher level. This will ensure that Community Objection determinations can be duly reviewed as to their formal aspects and related conclusions, with the overall aim of at least remedying procedural or substantive inconsistencies and ensuring full implementation of the principle of a "timely and efficient dispute resolution" as expressed in ICANN's New gTLD Dispute Resolution Procedure.