LACTLD's comments on SSR RT Draft report. Recommendation #1:

1. It is understood that the coordination of a unified system of Internet identifiers implies assuming the responsibility and safeguards of stability, security and flexibility of this resource, positioning itself as that the main referent for the subject. This coordination implies reaching out to all the stakeholders involved and showing the impact of these actions (both in process as well as in outcome).
   It also implies recognizing that in a multistakeholder mechanism, where the initiatives arise from the community, the links between topics and proposals, as well as the grounds that back any decisions must be explicit and communicated.
   - Following the aforementioned, does this imply that in order to bequeath all stakeholders, as suggested, would it be necessary to formalize and make explicit the working agreements between all those involved in the registry chain, starting from IANA, TLDs, Registrars, Resellers until the Registrants?
   - What kind of clauses for the compromise or intervention should be prepared, if at all necessary
   - In which other ways could ICANN generate these safeguards?

   ii. The limits to the coordination role must come from the national competent legal authorities.
   - What should be the nature of the relationship of the registry with the local authorities?
   - What kind of cooperation mechanisms can be obtained with Law enforcement agencies and the operators of the registry chain?
   - Is ICANN and by transitivity, TLD managers, responsible for the search a definition for these frameworks of cooperation with the local authorities?

iii. Guaranteeing the security and stability of the unique global Internet identifiers system implies developing capacities for those stakeholders involved in the system so that they are able to respond to these problems with clear and aligned shared standards. It implies disseminating the threats to the system in a timely and appropriate manner.

   - Can ICANN on its own cover the whole registry chain? Or does this correspond, by transitivity, to other agents of the registry chain?