Handling confidential information Overview

Not sensitive	Type 3: Distributed to DSSA and public ("sanitized" info from sub-groups)	Type 4: Distributed to public
Sensitive	Type 2: Distributed to subgroups. (Sub-groups determine ultimate distribution)	Type 1: Distributed to sub- groups only (under NDA, most- protected)
Note: Sensitivity and attribution determined by info-provider	Not attributed to source (transmitted through trusted 3 rd party or summaries of Type 1 developed by sub-group)	Attributed to source

ď

Dimensions

- **Dimensions**
- Sensitivity
- Not sensitive • Options
 - Sensitive
- Data (for analysis)
- Internal processesTrade secrets
- Decision made by information-provider
- May require compartmentalization acr^[S] sub-teams
 - Attribution
- Options
- Attributed to source
- Not attributed to source
- Decision made by information-provider
- Non-attributed info transmitted through trusted 3rd party or from sub-team "sanitizing"
 - Distribution
- Options
- Distribute to the public
- Distribute to sub-groups
- Sub-groups decide distribution for sensitive information

Use cases

Use cases

- Type 1
- Sensitive, attributed
- Distribution to sub-teams only
- Governed/enforced by DSSA NDA (and project/use-specific NDAs if needed)
- Sensitive, not-attributed
- Distributed to sub-teams only Transmitted through trusted 3rd party or summaries of Type 1 information developed by sub
 - group Sub-team determines ultimate distributior<mark></mark>

Type 3

- Not sensitive, not attributed
- Distributed to the DSSA and ultimately the public (via email list, wiki, report, etc.)
 - "Sanitized" information developed by sub-groups
- Primarily Type 2 information that has been approved for release by the sub-group that developed it

- Not sensitive, attributed
- Distributed to the public (via email list, wiki, report, etc.)

∀

Open questions

- Mechanisms needed
- Tracking membership
- In DSSA?
- In sub-groups ♥
- Open questions
- Code of conduct for group -- is the charter sufficient?
- Preliminary answer: charter is sufficient
- Who is the trusted 3rd party for transmitting non-attributed information?
- ICANN staff?
- DSSA member?
- (under special NDA?)
- Anonymous system (NEISAS, remailer, drop-box, etc.)? Contracted provider (lawyer, consultant)?
- Preliminary answer: TBD

Ц

Charter

Principles

- Sub-working groups may need to access sensitive or proprietary information in order for the DSSA to do its work
- These procedures are an exception to accountability and transparency standards
- No formal NDA required for membership in the DSSA

Sub-working groups

- Only required where members of sub-working groups need to access and protect confidential information
- If needed: sub-WG members sign formal Affirmation of Confidentiality and Non-Disclosure agreement
- If needed: project or issue-specific Non-Disclosure Agreement
- If needed: separate private sub-working group email lists