Draft Principles for Cross-Community Working Groups

1. Scope of CWGs	Rationale
a) <u>Possible</u> Purpose <u>s</u> :	
i) To provide information and recommendations	To ensure community understanding about the
to the chartering organizations [and ultimately	specific role and purpose of CWGs
the broader ICANN community] in accordance	
with the charter or directions from the	
chartering organizations.	
chartering organizations.	
ii) A discussion forum to achieve greater	To maximize sharing of expertise on new,
community understanding. either prior to a	emerging or complex issues that affect the
PDP to help define issues and concerns, or	community in general and not one SO or AC
following a PDP to provide implementation	specifically and/or to provide community
recommendations or related guidance.	guidance and expertise to enhance the quality of
	later decision-making
iii) In any event, Consensus Policy development	To harmonize existing Policy Development
must occur using current Supporting	Process (PDP) bylaws requirements
Organization (SO) and Advisory Committee (AC)	
rules.	
b) PDP:	
The formation of a CWG may occur either prior	To harmonize existing Policy Development
to, following, or independent of a PDP to help	Process (PDP) bylaws requirements
define issues and concerns, or to provide implementation recommendations or related	
guidance.	
2. Operations of CWGs	
a) Formation of CWGs:	
i) Apply appropriate SO/AC WG Guidelines to all	For consistency, predictability
CWGs whenever possible.	
ii) All participating SOs/ACs should approve a	For consistency, predictability, and to reinforce
single, joint Charter [whenever possible] that	joint support of the scope and terms of each WG
defines the rules and procedures for the CWG.	tasking
iii) CWG Charters should include outcomes	For consistency, predictability and to reinforce
expected of the CWG and steps to be followed to	joint support about the scope and terms of each
review outcomes by chartering SOs and ACs.	WG tasking
b) Execution of CWGs:	
i) CWGs should follow the approved charter and	Helps ensure that concerns are addressed in a
bring concerns back to all chartering	consistent way
organizations for resolution according to their	
respective processes.	
ii) SOs/ACs should solicit and consider the views	DT recognizes importance of identifying and
of other SOs/ACs.	considering the full diversity of views that may
	exist
iii) CWGs should seek to accommodate diverging	This is always the goal in any consensus-based
views where possible before finalizing positions.	WG model
c) Outcomes of CWGs:	
i) <u>CWGs do not develop policy</u> . <u>Policy CWG</u>	Assures consistency with ICANN bylaws
recommendations-should be considered for	
possible approval and approved through the	
appropriate Policy Development Process.	

ii) CWGs must communicate Final Reports and Outcomes to chartering organizations for review	For consistency, predictability, helps assure that the SO and AC views on CWG recommendations
and action	are fully understood and documented
iii) CWGs' output must not be taken as an	A CWG's charter could override that provision,
expression of community consensus, except as it	with explicit reference, giving people notice that
may be endorsed as such by its chartering	unless they participated in the CWG, they'd risk
organizations.	losing opportunity to object. Limitations on the
	use of CWGs' output makes the groups
	themselves more flexible and easier to establish.
	Suggest changes by Chuck Gomes: "Groups
	should feel free to commission CWGs to help
	them in working through issues, identifying
	preliminary points of consensus and difference,
	generating possible solutions, without fearing
	that they will be bound by what the group says."
	Also perhaps: "At some point, the policy process
	reaches a final conclusion, at which point
	participants need to live with its outcomes or
	use ICANN's appeal mechanisms. In the general
	case, that happens through GNSO PDP -
	explicitly not through CWGs."
	1
iv) SOs/ACs should commit to timely review and	Assures expeditious treatment by all SO/ACs
finalizing of actions to avoid delays.	regardless of level of priority attributed by each