| Fast Flux Hosting | g Public Comments | | | |
|-------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------|----------------|------------------------------------------|
| | | | | |
| Categories | Concerns | Who | View of the WG | How/Where to incorporate in Final Report |
| | Illegitimate use of Fast Flux | | | |
| 1.a | A clearer distinction needs to be made between legitimate reasons to have DNS records with low TTL values and those with low TTL value for no obvious reason | R Atkinson | | |
| 1.b | There is no legitimate purpose that requires one site to use hundreds of hosts and have DNS changing with records | | | |
| 1.c | There are enough valid reasons for short TTL values | RAS | | |
| 1.d | Fast flux is a threat, but at the same time a technique we all take advantage off | Richard Golodner | | |
| 1.e | Only a small part of fast flux domains is legal | Davide Giuffrida | | |
| 1.f | | Mauro | | |
| 1.g | There are many possible reasons for short TTLs, but it would be appropriate to use it as a basis for further investigation e.g. by centrally archiving short TTL domains and verify those against complaints | Gary Warner | | |

| 3.d | The stated problem is only one in a | Fric Brunnor | |
|-----|---------------------------------------|--------------|--|
| 3.u | | | |
| | larger space of evasion or resiliency | WilliaitiS | |
| | techniques, some of which use the | | |
| | DNS. As a specific technique, it is | | |
| | an optimization of a resource | | |
| | utilization. | | |
| | istrars and registries can restrict | | |
| 4.a | There need to be strict laws in place | Michael | |
| | to allow registrars and hosting | Brusletten | |
| | companies to terminate fast flux | | |
| | hosting | | |
| 4.b | Monitoring DNS activity and | Ben Gelbart | |
| | reporting suspicious behavior to law | | |
| | enforcement or other appropriate | | |
| | reporting mechanism | | |
| 4.c | Adopting measures that make fast | Ben Gelbart | |
| | flux either harder to perform or | | |
| | unattractive | | |
| 4.d | Registrars should undertake more | RAS | |
| | due diligence when registering new | | |
| | domain names. Registrars have | | |
| | created an environment that invites | | |
| | abuse as they do not maintain staff | | |
| | and policies adequeate to prevent | | |
| | abuses from taking place. | | |
| 4.e | Adopting accelerated domain | Mauro | |
| | suspension processing in | | |
| | collaboration with certified | | |
| | investigators / responders | | |

| 4.f | Registrars need to build detecting mechanisms of a technical nature that will detect when fast flux is evident and then generate an email alert to CERT or law enforcement agencies, contracted reporting agencies and ICANN staff | Jeffrey A. Williams | |
|--------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------|--|
| 4.g | Registrar's responses and defensive mechanisms to fast flux activities appear to vary widely in substance and timeliness which may result in certain registrars being increasingly targeted for fast flux activities | IPC Constituency | |
| 4h 5. Definition of fast | Encourage registrars to adopt recognized best practices designed to curtail the harms caused by illegitimate uses of fast flux hosting | IPC Constituency | |
| flux | | | |
| 5.a | The specific distinguisher of a fast flux attack is that the dynamic nature of the DNS is exploited so that if a website is to be suppressed then it is essential to prevent the hostname resolving, rather than attepting to stop the website being hosted | Richard Clayton | |
| 5.b | Legitimate uses of fast flux do not use hijacked bots, have full control over IP ownership data and do not use throwaway domains with fake whois contacts often bought with stolen cards | Suresh Ramasubramani an | |

| 6. Role of ICANN | | | |
|------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------|--|
| 6.a | Encouraging, tracking, and publishing reports of registrars who are slow to act on abusive domains and should be more aggressive on dealing with registrars who generate large number of complaints | RAS | |
| 6.b | Formulating a best practicepolicy for domain registries / registrars and/or ISPs to fight against the use of fast flux in illegal activities | | |
| 6.c | Gaterhing and disseminating information regarding fast flux hosting and developing best practices for registries and registrars | IPC Constituency | |
| 6.d | ICANN should consider as a first step rapid implementation of the suggestions already called out within the report along with the establishment of an Advisory Board on how to continually improve these suggestions | Jon Orbeton | |
| 6.e | Promoting consistent standards and contractual arrangements | Richard Clayton | |
| 6.f | Establishing guidelines and principles, and arranging compensation for any innocent domains caught in the cross-fire would be a useful role for an ICANN report | Richard Clayton | |

| | To many data the ademaking and applications | Alexa Marradari | |
|------------------------|---------------------------------------------|------------------|--|
| 6.g | 1 . | Alan Murphy | |
| | in developng policies and guidelines | | |
| | to distinguish good and bad use of | | |
| | the Internet. | | |
| 7. Who is benefitting | from fast flux? | | |
| 7.a | Lack of evidence to include 'free | Jeffrey A. | |
| | speech' advocacy groups as | Williams | |
| | benefitting from fast flux | | |
| 7.b | There is no evidence for the | Gary Warner | |
| | existence of ree speech /advocacy | | |
| | groups using fast flux | | |
| 7.c | Criminal entities should be added to | Gary Warner | |
| | the list of those benefitting from | | |
| | fast flux | | |
| 8. Who would benefit | from cessation? | | |
| 8.a | Law enforcement and investigators | Gary Warner | |
| | as cessation would facilitate | | |
| | catching the criminals | | |
| 9. Next steps / Possil | | | |
| 9.a | Report to be reviewed by relevant | R Atkinson | |
| | IETF Working Groups | | |
| 9.b | Need to continue work in this area | IPC Constituency | |
| | despite difficulties encountered by | | |
| | the WG | | |
| 9.c | Ban IP of infected PC's, put some | Ed | |
| | responsibility of internet control | | |
| | back to the ISP, time delay between | | |
| | registrations and activation, forced | | |
| | security updates | | |
| | 1000anity apacitos | ļ | |

| 9.d | There are viable methods for | Steven | |
|-----|----------------------------------------|------------------|--|
| | disabling domains without | Chamberlain | |
| | pelanising legitimate users of fast | onanno on iain | |
| | flux techniques, and without | | |
| | imposing any new restrictions on | | |
| | domain registration such as | | |
| | blacklisting and filtering of domain | | |
| | names tht are known to host | | |
| | malware or illegal content, or used | | |
| | for phishing | | |
| 9.e | Secure the applications with | Michael Holder | |
| | technology that is appropriate to | | |
| | the level of value and risk | | |
| 9.f | Listing of bad domains, which could | Davide Giuffrida | |
| | be used to clean the network. Those | : | |
| | domains using fast flux legitimately | | |
| | should be incorporated in a | | |
| | separate list. | | |
| 9.g | Further study is needed in order to | IPC Constituency | |
| | establish the extend of the harm | | |
| 9.h | More study is needed to understand | IPC Constituency | |
| | the rather speculative | | |
| | characterization of fast flux benefits | | |
| | and whether such benefits can be | | |
| | achieved in another manner | | |
| 9.i | Consider further and develop the | IPC Constituency | |
| | Information Sharing and Active | | |
| | Engagement measures outlined in | | |
| | the Initial Report | | |
| 9.j | Continue to investigate the APWG's | IPC Constituency | |
| | proposed best practices | | |

| 9.k | Make additional non-private information about registered domains available through DNS based queries | Jon Orbeton | |
|-----|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------|--|
| 9.1 | Publish summaries of unique complaint volumes by registrar, by TL and by name server | Jon Orbeton | |
| 9.m | Cooperative, community initiatives designed to facilitate data sharing and the identification of problematic domain names | Jon Orbeton | |
| 9.n | Stronger registrant verification procedures | Jon Orbeton | |
| 9.0 | Adopt accelerated domain suspension processing in collaboration with certified investigators / responders | Jon Orbeton | |
| 9.p | Stronger conflict resolution measures to deal with registrars / IP space owners who are non-responsive to wide scale and numerous abuse complaints | Jon Orbeton | |
| 9.q | Establishing a fee for modification of the name servers would not be a disincentive as in most of these cases stolen credit cards are used | Gary Warner | |
| 9.r | Explore other means to address fast flux issues instead of initiating a PDP which is not suitable because of the rapidly evolving nature of fast flux, combined with the minimal effect new policy would likely have on Internet Fraud and abuse | Constituency | |

| | It DDD: I'I til ' | In | |
|-----|-------------------------------------|-----------------|--|
| 9.s | If a PDP is pursued, the following | Registrar | |
| | next steps are in order of | Constituency | |
| | preference: 1) further work/study | | |
| | to determine which solutions / | | |
| | recommendations are best | | |
| | addressed by best practices, | | |
| | industry solutions or policy | | |
| | development, 2) include fast flux | | |
| | hosting as part of the work now | | |
| | being done on registration abuse | | |
| | and take-down policies, 3) redefine | | |
| | the issue and scope. | | |
| 9.t | There are no technical ways to | Richard Clayton | |
| | proceed which are effective and | | |
| | avoid collateral damage, the only | | |
| | option is to suspend domain names. | | |
| | | | |
| 9.u | More attention needs to be paid to | Richard Clayton | |
| | the role of ICANN, the registries | | |
| | and registrars in the suspension of | | |
| | domain names | | |
| 9.v | A group be set up to facilitate the | Philip Virgo | |
| | exchange of information on the | | |
| | conditions of service of registries | | |
| | and registrars and how these work | | |
| | in practice | | |