**Follow-up on the Policy and Implementation WG Final Recommendations**

6 January 2016

Reference: Policy and Implementation WG Final Recommendations - <https://community.icann.org/display/PIWG/Final+Report+Redline+Version?preview=/53777906/54002979/Policy%20and%20Implementation%20Final%20Report%20-%20redline%20-%20FINAL%20-%201%20June%202015.docx>

The following table lists action items that may be necessary to implement the Policy & Implementation WG Final Recommendations.

| **Page #** | **Rec. #** | **Action Item** | **Comments** | **Status** |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| 6 | 4 | Update the PDP Manual to require an IRT | Should there be an IRT for P&I WG? | Updated – awaiting posting of updated Bylaws to publish updated PDP Manual |
| 6 | 5 | Ensure that IRTs follow IRT Principles | See Annex L. | Proposed implementation: IRT Principles to be shared with newly created IRTs at the start of their deliberations. IRT and/or staff can flag if principles are not respected. |
| 12 | 1 | GNSO adoption of P&I Principles | Did Council approval cover this? | Yes |
| 12 | 1 | Board adoption of P&I Principles | Did Board approval cover this? | Yes |
| 12 | 1 | Principles should be reviewed by WG members at the beginning of all WGs |  | Proposed implementation: Principles are provided to the WG together with other materials as required reading materials. |
| 16 |  | Develop ‘standardized processes’ to review changes to implementation plans | Is this a Council action item? See item e on page 16. Should a GIP be used for this? | MK: the standardized processes are now in place with the GIP, GGP and EPDP. Also, the IRT principles prescribe the role of the IRT and the Council liaison in this regard. I’m not sure that more is necessary at this stage? |
| 17 |  | Develop a mechanism to flag & address unanticipated outcomes of implementation decisions | Is this a Council action item? See item 2.a on page 17. Should a GIP be used for this? | MK: my presumption is that flagging mainly happens as a result of information received by staff (either from compliance or other affected parties). As such, the issue can be referred to the Council who can decide how to act using one of the new mechanisms. Similarly, anyone could raise such an issue with the GNSO Council. |
| 17 |  | Develop a mechanism to flag & address deviations of policy recommendations. | Is this a Council action item? See item 2.b on page 17. Should a GIP be used for this? | See 16 response |
| 18-19 |  | Update the GNSO Operating Procedures to include the GIP, GGP & EPDP |  | Updated – awaiting posting of updated Bylaws to publish updated PDP Manual |
| 19-20 |  | Update the PDP Manual to include EPDP |  | Updated – awaiting posting of updated Bylaws to publish updated PDP Manual |
| 20 | 3 | Add a provision to the GNSO Operating Procedures that clarifies that parallel efforts on similar identical topics should be avoided. . . . | Refer to full wording of Recommendation 3 including suggested approach. | Updated – awaiting posting of updated Bylaws to publish updated PDP Manual |
| 27 |  | Ensure the time between Board approval and implementation of the recommendations is as short as possible |  | Staff responsibility – the Consensus Policy Implementation Framework is intended to aid in this regard. |
|  |  | Make necessary changes to the Bylaws | See Appendices E & G | Updated – awaiting posting of updated Bylaws |