| | Question | # | Topic | Suggested Alternatives | Level of Support | Status | Notes/Current Language proposed the GNSO Council shall consist of:(c) six representatives selected from the |
|---|----------|----------------------------|--|--|--|---|--|
| | Q1 | 1 | Number of NCSG Seats | No Change from Proposed Language | Most support | Waiting on board determination | Commercial Stakeholder Group; (d) six representatives selected from the Non- Commercial Stakeholder Group |
| | Q2 | 2 | | Reduce NCSG to 3 seats from 6 | BC, IPC, ISPC | | |
| | | 3 | Name of House | Users and Services instead of Non-Contracting Party House | BC, IPC, ISPC | | Typically for voting purposes, the GNSO Council shall be organized into a bicameral house structure as described below: a. the Contracted Party House and b. the Non-Contracted Providers House |
| | | 4 | | Users House instead of Non-Contracting Party House | BC, IPC, ISPC | Rules tentatively accepted for naming issues. This presumes that board will allow for renaming as long as the definitons of scope remian the same. | 1. a house can determine its own name with the approval of both SGs in that house; 2. that a SG group can determine its own name and that this should be part of its board approved charter; 3. hat a constituency can determine its own name and it should part of its board approved charter; 4. that no house, SG or constituency gets to determine the name of another house, SG or constituency. |
| | | 5 | | Contracted Party to "Contracted Parties and Suppliers" | BC, IPC, ISPC | Removed based on principle that each entity controls its own name subject to approval of members and board | |
| | | 6 | | No change to house names | Most support | Waiting on board determination | the GNSO Council shall consist of (a) three representatives selected from the Registry Stakeholder Group; (b) three representatives selected from the Registrar Stakeholder Group; (c) six representatives selected from the Commercial Stakeholder Group; d) six representatives selected from the Non-Commercial Stakeholder Group: |
| | | 7 1 | Name of Stakeholder Group | Name Should be "Non-Commercial Stakeholder Group" | Most support | Waiting on board determination | |
| | | 8 | | "Non-commercial-SG" to the "Noncommercial- and Individual SG" | BC, IPC, ISPC | Removed based on principle that each entity controls its own name subject to approval of members and board | This raises the question of whether individuals can be a member of the Commercial SG |
| | | 9 | Name of Constituencies | Name Should be "Registries" instead of "Registry" for the SG and Constituency References | Typo - All support | Fixed - can be closed | |
| | Q3 | 10 | Assigning Non-Com Appointees to a House | We need to describe exactly how the Nomcom Appointees (NCAs) are to be assigned to a house. | All support | | three representatives selected by the ICANN Nominating Committee, one of which shall be non-voting, but otherwise entitled to participate on equal footing with members of the GNSO Council. One voting representative shall be assigned to each House subject to a selection procedure adopted by that House. |
| | 1 | 11 | | House Pick | some support | Doodle exercise completed | Doobdle inciated a strong support for Nomcom decides on seating and for definiting this in GNSO operating rules and procedures. Issues brought up include 1. Can GNSO change Nomcom commitments and will a corresponding change need to be made in Nomcom by-laws section. 2. the by-laws indicte how all the other council members are asigned to house and it sems consistent to include NCAs as well. 3. Needs to be something in transiton section since Nomcom placement will not happen for class of 2009. |
| • | Ω4 | 12 13 14 15 16 | Constituency Rights | Non-Com selects for itself Appointees Pick Should this be in Bylaws? Should this be in GNSO Rules | some support some support some support some support | | |
| | Q-1 | 17 | | Guaranteed Minimum 1 GNSO Seat | Staff suggestion, no support from GNSO | Waiting on Board Determination | subject to the provision that each Board-recognized Constituency shall be allocated a minimum of one seat on the GNSO Council. |
| | | 18 | | Need clarification of rights/benefits of being a Constituency Should the Bylaws include conditions on the | Staff Suggestion | | |
| | 1 | 19 | | relationship between Constituencies and the Stakeholder groups in order to implement the Board's recommendations for increased participation and representation | Staff Suggestion | | |
| (| Q5 | 20 | Geographic distribution SG council members | , | | Philip, Chuck, Olga, Mary/Milton to work on proposed compromise language. | |
| | 21 | | a Non Contracted Party SG to appoint up to 4 (increased from 3) out of 6 council members from one geographic regions | some support ???? | curent Langauge | For Stakeholder Groups with three seats on the GNSO Council, no two representatives shall be citizens of the same country or of countries located in the same Geographic Region except in cases where a Stakeholder Group can demonstrate that there are no eligible and available member representatives from three different regions. For Stakeholder Groups with six seats on the GNSO Council, no four representatives shall be citizens of the same country or of countries in the same Geographic Region except in cases where a Stakeholder Group can demonstrate that there are no eligible and available member representatives from four different regions. | |

| a Non Contracted Party SG to appoint up to 3 out of 6 council members from one geographic regions a Non Contracted Party SG to appoint up to 3 out of 6 council members from one geographic regions both geograph allocated Coun cand Olga Language Proposed by Chuck, Milton each from diffe and Olga seats for a Stat geographic reg each geographic | Groups should ensure their representation on the GNSO Council is hically and sectorally diverse as appropriate. If the number of uncil seats for a Stakeholder Group is less than the number of raphic regions, the applicable SG should select Councilors who are ferent geographic regions. If the number of allocated Council akeholder Group is greater than or equal to the number of ICANN agions, the applicable SG should select at least one Councilor from ohic region. In all cases no more than two Stakeholder Group sentatives may be from the same ICANN geographic region; any this requirement must be approved by a 2/3 vote of both houses. | |
|--|--|--|
| Should these geographic diverstiy requirements be waived by Non-Contracting Party SG some support | | |
| Should these geographic diverstiy requirements be waived by Contracting Party SG some support | | |
| 25 Consider having exceptions apply only to some support Contracting Party side | | |
| Should the geographic diversity requirement in 26 the Com SG allow for an exception for "sectoral some support diversity" | | |
| Allow more flexibility no eligible, qualified and available member representation | | |
| Q6 28 Term Limits Exception Special circumstance on term limits to support geographic diversity- should this require both house to give majority approval Special circumstance on term limits to support geographic diversity- should this require both house. Seems to have full support. Seems to be a Council issue Should be consistent with Geo names resolution. Guestion remains of who decides on exception. Both house or the SG's house. Seems to be a Council issue Should be consistent with Geo names resolution. | special circumstance," such as to meet geographic diversity , where no alternative representative is available to serve, no ber may be selected to serve more than two consecutive terms. poses, a person selected to fill a vacancy in a term shall not be ave served that term. A former Council member must remain out of full term prior to serving any subsequent term. A "special "is approved by majority vote (of both houses, of the House suncil member will sit). | |
| 29 only approval of affected house required | or handling GNSO Council member vacancies, resignations, and | |
| Q7 30 Bylaws vs. Operating Rules Policy Decisions require that rules be in the by-Laws? To what extent does the contractual nature of Policy Decisions require that rules be in the by-Laws? To what extent does the contractual nature of Policy Decisions require that rules be in the by-Laws? strong support for subsidiarity approval. Basis for roperating rules and procedures subject to charter motion or other | removals are prescribed in the applicable Stakeholder Group or Nominating Committee charter approved by the Board. Except as otherwise specified in the Transition Article XX, Section 5 (link TBD) or Annex A of these Bylaws (link TBD), all bicameral house voting thresholds required to pass a GNSO Councimotion or other action are prescribed in the GNSO Council Operating Rules and Procedures | |
| To what extent can flexibility be obtained by moving some items to Rules & Procedures. | | |
| Q8 32 Locality of rules and Procedure For items that can me moved to Rules and Procedures, which ones need to be part of Council Rules and Procedures strong support for subsidiarity Language added to Section 2 | | |
| Policy and procedures that vary depending on 33 the nature of the specific issues should generally some support be in Rules & Procedures | | |
| policy & procedures that deal with critical elements of the GNSO Structure should be in the some support Bylaws | | |
| policy & procedures that relate to other Bylaws 35 provisions or existing contractual provisions some support should be in the Bylaws | | |
| which ones can be House specific Rules and unknown support Staff Note- William Procedures? unknown support applicable to a | Ve did not envision specific rules or structural documents a House | |
| ICANN Board | wo GNSO Houses shall make a selection to fill one of two diseats, as outlined below, by written ballot or by action at a | |
| 49 37 Board Seats 13/14 Switch order of which house elect 13/14 waiting on board determination the respective | meeting; any such selection must have affirmative votes comprising 60% of all the respective voting house members: a. the Contracted Party & Suppliers House shall select Seat 13; and b. the Users & Providers House shall select Seat 14 | |
| No Change from Proposed language some support | | |
| Parties House and seat 14 be assigned to the Contracted Parties house | | |
| | individual or entity shall be excluded from participation in a merely because of participation in another Constituency". quage | |

| | 41 | Qualifications to serve on the Board | | | | Both seats shall not be held by individuals who are employed by, an agent of, or receive any compensation from an ICANN-accredited registry or registrar nor shall both seats be held by individuals who are the elected or appointed representatives to one of the four GNSO Stakeholder Groups or any Constituency |
|------|----|--|--|---|--|--|
| | 42 | | Both seats shall not be held by individuals who are employed by, an agent of, or receive any compensation from an ICANN-accredited registry or registrar | unknown support | dependency of SIC feedback on Q9 | |
| | 43 | | both seat should not be held by individuals who are the elected or appointed representatives to one of the four GNSO Stakeholder Groups or any Constituency | unknown support | dependency of SIC feedback on Q9 | |
| | 44 | | No limitation on board seat, only 60% house vote required from the house that appoints seat | some support | dependency of SIC feedback on Q9 | |
| | 45 | | An individual may not serve simultaneously as a GNSO Councilor and an ICANN Board member | full support | can be closed | |
| 10a | 46 | | Article 3: No individual or entity shall be excluded from participation in a Constituency merely because of participation in another Constituency". | some support for suggested alternate language. | Isues include: SG charters with no constituencies, how is this policed (members lists?), | Suggested change to: With the exception of voting, no individual or entity shall be excluded from observing a Constituency merely becasue of participation in another Constituency. Each Constituency will be repsonsible for determing its own rules relating to observe participation. |
| Q 11 | 47 | Registries SG include those wishing to become registries | | Most do not support change, suggested by by BC, IPC, ISPC uncertain of current support | Issue possibly resolved by statements in SG charter | The following Stakeholder Groups are hereby recognized as representative of a specific group of Constituencies, approved by the Board, and subject to the provisions of the Transition Article XX, Section 5 of these Bylaws (link TBD): Registry Stakeholder Group representing all gTLD registries under contract to ICANN and including as observers organisations who wish to become Registries; |
| Q 12 | 48 | Registrars SG include resellers | No Change from Proposed Language | some support | | The following Stakeholder Groups are hereby recognized as representative of a specific group of Constituencies, approved by the Board, and subject to the provisions of the Transition Article XX, Section 5 of these Bylaws (link TBD): Stakeholder Group representing all registrars accredited by and under contract to ICANN and including as observers resellers |
| | 49 | | Delete reference to resellers | some support | | to to Arth and including as observers rescribes |
| Q 13 | 50 | NCSG include "members of the at-large community" | No Change from Proposed Language | Most favor no change, supported by BC, IPC, ISPC | | The following Stakeholder Groups are hereby recognized as representative of a specific group of Constituencies, approved by the Board, and subject to the provisions of the Transition Article XX, Section 5 of these Bylaws (link TBD): Non-Commercial & Individual Stakeholder Group representing the full range of non-commercial entities of the Internet and members of the at-large community; |
| | 51 | | if so, should at large become "At Large" | question was asked, unknown support | | |
| | 52 | | if so should "non-commercial" be added before "members" | some support | | |
| | 53 | | Delete reference to "at large" | some support | | |
| Q 14 | 54 | Privacy Law | "applicable privacy law as it pertains to personally identifiable information" | some support | | Each Stakeholder Group identified in paragraph 1 of this Section (link TBD) and each of its approved Constituencies shall maintain recognition with the ICANN Board. Recognition is granted by the Board based upon the extent to which, in fact, the entity represents the global interests of the stakeholder communities it purports to represent and operates to the maximum extent feasible in an open and transparent manner consistent with procedures designed to ensure fairness. Stakeholder Group and Constituency Charters will be reviewed periodically as prescribed by the Board. |
| | 55 | | consistent with prevailing privacy laws | some support | | Notwithstanding the adention of those Rylaws Amondments, each CNSO |
| Q 15 | 56 | Transitional Charter | (which may itself of necessity be a transitional Charter) | some support | | Notwithstanding the adoption of these Bylaws Amendments, each GNSO Constituency described in paragraph 2 above shall continue operating substantially as before and no Constituency official, working group, or other activity shall be changed until further action of the Constituency, provided that each GNSO Constituency shall submit to the ICANN Secretary a new or revised Charter (which may itself of necessity be a transitional Charter) inclusive of its operating procedures, adopted according to the Constituency's processes and consistent with these Bylaws Amendments, no later than the ICANN meeting in October 2009. |
| | 57 | | (which may be a Board approved transitional charter)? | some support | | |
| Q16 | 58 | Bylaws to IncludeSpecific Date for Transition | No Change from Proposed Language | Staff suggestion | | Article XX, Section 5, Transition Article, Items 3 & 4 (and elsewhere in the document)Items 3 & 4 as well as elsewhere in the document, a specific month is given for GNSO restructure implementation. It was previously June 2009 and it has been proposed to change it to October 2009. |

| | 59 60 | | Bylaws to state- date to be announced by Board Bylaws to state- date to be announced by Board, but not later than (date) | some support |
|-------|----------------|---|---|---------------------------------|
| Q17 | 61 | Priviledges of Non Voting Members | Should be defined in Bylaws | all support |
| Q 18 | 62 63 64 | Thresholds for Chair/VC | Describe other benefits such as ability to make and second motions Ability to serve as Chair? GNSO Council Chair - elected by 60% of both houses, with rounds of voting if there are more | some support some support |
| | 65 | | than two candidates, and including a none of the above category Vice Chair elected by each House, with the house rules clarifying how this is to be done | some support |
| | 66 | | No Default if cant agree Default if can't agree that the vice chairs will be | some support |
| | 67 | | Co Chairs, with a new election in 4 months later; if co-chairs don't agree, one is tie-breaker for a month and then alternates with other for next month | some support |
| | 68 | | possibilty of an appointed chair from outside the group | question posed, unknown support |
| | 69 | | Thresholds for Chair to be included in Bylaws, VC in House rules | some support |
| Q 18a | 70 | Thesholds in Bylaws | Should all voting thresholds, and not just those related to PDP etc, be in the Bylaws? Should we put the threshold issue on hold until | some support |
| Q18b | 71 | Thresholds | information is provided from Staff regarding thresholds related to the PDP in Annex A? Should the thresholds include a catch-all | Some supoort |
| Q18c | 72 | GNSO Voting Thresholds | threshold as originally recommended by the GNSO such as "All other issues: requires a simple majority of both houses."? | Most supoort |
| Q18d | 73 | Thresholds for PDP during transition period | No Change from Proposed Language | All support |
| Q19 | 74 75 76 | What is Scope | Include thresholds in Annex A instead of in Transitional Article Within ICANN's Mission and GNSO scope (ie gTLDs) needs defintion replace with within scope with 'determined by legal counsel in the issues report' | some support some support |

languege added in several sections. Can be closed

The three seats currently selected by the Nominating Committee shall be assigned as follows: one voting member to the Contracted Party & Suppliers House, one voting member to the Users & Providers House, and one nonvoting member assigned to the GNSO Council at large.

In the absence of further action by the Board to modify or amend Annex A to these Bylaws and/or this Transition Article XX, Section 5, the newly seated GNSO Council will utilize the following voting thresholds for all policy development activity conducted commencing with the ICANN meeting in June 2009: a. Create an Issues Report: requires more than 25% vote of both houses or majority of one house; b. Initiate a PDP Within Scope: requires more than 33% vote of both houses or more than 66% vote of one house; c. Initiate a PDP Not Within Scope: requires a vote of more than 75% of one house and a majority of the other house ("Super Majority"); d. Approve a PDP Recommendation Without a Super Majority: requires a majority of both houses and further requires that one representative of at least 3 of the 4 Stakeholder Groups supports; e. Approve a PDP Recommendation With a Super Majority: requires greater than 75% majority in one house and majority in the other house.