



## GNSO REVIEW

### UPDATE

- Working Party formed to provide input and serve as a liaison between the GNSO/GNSO Council and the SIC for the review of the GNSO.
- The SIC is planning a 360 review to be facilitated by an independent auditor. This will seek input from the community on a variety of topics.
- We will have the opportunity to contribute to how that 360 Review is framed and structured, but the SIC will make final decisions on the scope and structure of the 360 review.
- If we think it would be in our best interest to conduct an additional self-review to address topics not included in the 360 Review, we will propose a framework to do so.
- If we think the 360 Review sufficiently covers the areas of interest, we will review the data gathered as part of an independent Self-Review.
  - The key aspect of the Self-Review is that we (as the GNSO) self-determine the scope of the review and analyze data through our lens for comparison with the independent auditor review and conclusions. This will allow us to compare and contrast results in a meaningful and efficient manner.

### QUESTIONS TO COUNCIL

- Do you agree with this approach?
- Should we extend invitations to the broader community to participate or observe this working committee?
  - Specifically the BRG has requested the opportunity to participate.
  - Should each stakeholder group have at least one?
  - We need to keep the size of the group manageable, but ensure everyone has the opportunity to be heard and provide for full transparency.
- Other comments?

## TIME FRAME AND NEXT STEPS

- Working committee to meet weekly through London to provide feedback to the SIC on the structure and scope of the 360 Review. Thereafter, we will set a schedule tied to the review schedule.
- Our goal is to come to the London meeting with recommendations on whether or not a self-review is needed, and if so, propose a framework for doing so.
- The Review is scheduled to continue through summer with GNSO response during the October meeting.