

Update on New gTLD PDP

Joint GAC WG1 - GNSO meeting



Avri Doria
Chair, GNSO Council

2007.06.24

San Juan, Puerto Rico



Agenda

- Activity since publication of GAC Principles
- Current Status
- Relations of GAC Principles to current draft of Final Report (18 June 2007)
- Discussion
- Next Steps

Activity In Lisbon re GAC Principles

- In Lisbon GNSO New gTLD committee met to discuss the GAC principles paper in detail
 - Reviewed each of the principles
 - Looked at each of the proposed New gTLD recommendations in the light of our understanding on the principles
 - developed questions for the GAC on interpretation

Activity since Lisbon

- Held a conference call with several GAC members to discuss the range of understanding of the principles
- Have revised several recommendation based on the principles, discussions and the GNSO's understanding of the balance between the principles and the constituency's priorities

Current Status

- PDP nearing completion
 - Related WG groups completed their work
 - Internationalised Domain Names IDN WG
 - Reserved Names (RN) WG
 - Protecting the Rights of Others (PRO) WG
 - New gTLD committee working to integrate WG recommendations as appropriate
- Holding open forum discussions of draft final report
- Continuing to integrate complex set of conditions



General Discussion

- Response to a GAC principles may be
 - In a GNSO Principle
 - In a GNSO Recommendation
 - In a GNSO Implementation Recommendation
 - In a Staff Implementation
 - In existing consensus policies

GAC Principles <-> New GTLD Draft

- 2.1 -> Recommendations 3, 6
- 2.2 -> Recommendation 5
- 2.3 -> Recommendation 3
- 2.4 -> Recommendation 2
- 2.5 -> Recommendation 1
- 2.6 -> Principle C
- 2.7 -> PRO WG recommendations, Rec 3

GAC Principles <-> New GTLD Draft (II)

- 2.8 -> Recommendation 20
- 2.9 -> PRO WG Recommendations
- 2.10 -> Implementation Discussion Points
- 2.11 -> Implementation Discussion Points
- 2.12 -> Implementation Discussion Points
- 2.13 -> Implementation Discussion Points
- 2.14 -> Implementation Discussion Points

Discussion – GAC Principle 2.1

- RN WG decided not to create a reserved name category for terms that could be objected to based on 'sensitivities regarding terms with national, cultural, geographic or religious significance”
- Recommended that strings not be contrary to “accepted norms relating to morality and public order that are enforceable under generally accepted and internationally recognized principles of international law”
- In process of creating objection mechanism available during application review process

Discussion – GAC Principle 2.2

- Reserved Names has not included “country, territory, or place names and country, territory or regional language or people descriptions” as Reserved Names
- WG and Committee have interpreted the requirements for “agreement with the relevant governments or public authorities” as being satisfied by a specific *TBD* dispute processes during the application review process

Discussion – Disputes and Objections

- Currently the subject of implementation discussion within the staff and discussion between ICANN Staff and GNSO
- Open Issues include:
 - Who has standing in a dispute or objection
 - Can AC or SO be an agent for an objection
 - Fee mechanisms for objections
 - for applicants
 - for objectors
 - Managing complex of multiple disputes or resolutions.



Discussion – GAC Principle 2.3

- Included under Recommendation 3 for Protecting the Rights of Others
- Mechanism for IGO protection subject of a Issues report released by Staff on 15 June
- June 27 2007
 - Issues report to be discussed in GSNO open forum
 - Next steps to be decided in GSNO open meeting

Discussion - IDNs

- Strong community interest in including IDNs in 1st round of New gTLD applications.
- Will this be possible?

Next Steps

- Goal is to finish GNSO process within next 2 months. This includes :
 - Integrate results of open forum discussions and comments as appropriate
 - Continue iteration with staff on implementation issues
 - Complete review and integration of WG results as appropriate
 - Hold council vote on sending report to the Board.

Thank You