(1) What is or should be ICANN's mission? In this regard, please use the recent staff posting as your starting point, and tell us (a) which if any of the activities listed there should not be part of ICANN's mission, (b) whether there are additional activities not listed that should be part of ICANN's mission, and (c) what mechanisms are available, once ICANN's mission statement is finalized, to minimize the risk that ICANN will stray beyond those boundaries.

(2) Are the issues raised in Stuart Lynn's report a correct perception of the problems facing ICANN? If not, why not? What are the real problems?

Funding is a central problem for any private organization, though it must always be considered alongside other problems and priorities. Cyber-democracy is difficult but not absolutely impossible to achieve. It should be seen not as an obstacle but as a goal to be reached through great effort.

(3) Are the specific suggested reforms set forth in that report appropriate, and likely to be workable and effective? If not, why not? What are your ideas for workable and effective alternatives?

Letting ICANN be somehow owned by governments and companies is not acceptable. Neither it is leaving individual Internet users without an effective tool to participate in the Internet Governance. Funding and democracy problems could be solved using ALSO proposal, that is, creating a regionally-based structure aimed both to raise awareness of ICANN’s issues among Internet users and to make up a reliable census of paying members. ISOC ECC has already agreed to help this structure become a reality.

(4) Assuming you believe that structural and procedural reforms are necessary to ensure that ICANN carries out its mission, what transition mechanisms or approaches should be used to migrate from the status quo to the future environment? Over what time period should this migration take place?"