

Internet address Dispute Resolution Committee

11F Platinum Tower, 398 Seocho-daero,
Seocho-gu, Seoul, Korea 137-857
TEL +82-2-405-6788 FAX +82-2-405-5149



November 30, 2015

Dear Madams/Sirs,

We would like to express our gratitude for your effort to make a Preliminary Issue Report on a Policy Development Process to Review All Rights Protection Mechanisms in All Generic Top-Level Domains.

We, the Korea Internet address Dispute Resolution Committee, have handled significant number of .kr ccTLD dispute cases in accordance with our country's own standard for over a decade. In addition, we have resolved a very good number of gTLD dispute cases in accordance with UDRP as a Seoul office of Asian Domain Name Dispute Resolution Centre consisting of an office in Hong-Kong, Beijing, Seoul, and Kuala Lumpur respectively.

Since the ICANN adopted UDRP as a policy to resolve disputes on gTLD in 1999, we acknowledge the UDRP as an effective system contributing to resolution for disputes on domain names in a short period of time and at a low cost compared with those involved in judicial procedures.

UDRP is a mechanism to resolve disputes on a global scale extending to global jurisdiction, and under the circumstances, it properly serves the balance of interests of the public and the right holders across the globe, albeit not with ease. UDRP with the judicial procedures and systems taken into account may not be the world's best mechanism to protect rights, but based upon the precedents established thus far since 1999, the goal for finding such balances will be achieved. In essence, we do not find any areas to make improvement at present.

In the meantime, we think that more time is necessary to review a correct protection mechanism of new gTLD because of its short history.

Sincerely,

Ho-Hyun Nahm, Chairman of IDRC