

**GNSO Operations Steering Committee Meeting**  
**25 October 2009**  
**Seoul, South Korea**

Note: This meeting was recorded and transcribed. The recording and transcriptions are available at: <http://sel.icann.org/node/6733>.

**Attendees:**

Olga Cavalli, NomCom Appointee  
Mason Cole, Registrar Constituency  
Rafik Dammak, Non-Commercial Users Constituency  
Ray Fassett, Registries Constituency  
Chuck Gomes, Registries Constituency  
Tony Holmes, Internet Service and Connectivity Providers Constituency  
Wolf-Ulrich Knoben, Internet Service and Connectivity Providers Constituency  
Steve Metalitz, Intellectual Property Constituency  
Philip Sheppard, Business Constituency  
Michael Young, Registries Constituency

**Staff Attendees:**

Julie Hedlund, Director, SSAC Support  
Rob Hoggarth, Senior Policy Director

**Agenda:**

Discussion of the OSC Stakeholder Group and Constituency Operations Work Team (CSG) Recommendations for Tool Kit of Services

**Summary of the Discussion:**

- Olga noted that although these recommendations were completed ahead of other recommendations from the Work Team, some Work Team members objected to sending them to the OSC. However, a rough consensus was reached and two-thirds of the Work Team members agreed to provide the recommendations to the OSC along with the minority reports of the dissenting members.
- Philip asked why the minority reports were necessary. Michael explained that the Work Team had experienced difficulties in coming to consensus on several issues, including these recommendations.
- Philip asked Rafik to explain his minority position. Rafik said that his position was simply that the recommendations should be sent to the OSC as one document. In response to a question from Steve he added that he was not dissenting on any substantive issue.
- Wolf-Ulrich asked for a clarification of the procedures. Olga explained that the issue was whether the recommendations for tool kit services should be sent to the OSC separately from other recommendations and that there was no substantive issue.
- Philip suggested the principle that recommendations should be sent separately unless they are strongly linked.

- Steve noted that everything in the GNSO improvements process is provisional so it is unavoidable that some recommendations would be considered before others.
- Vanda recommended that the OSC should approve the recommendations and move forward with them.
- The OSC discussed changes to the substance of the document. Steve suggested that item number 8 — providing grants to constituencies in lieu of providing services — should apply to all services. He suggested amending the document to place text concerning funding after the list of recommendations. Philip supported the change.
- Philip also recommended removing the minority reports in the document to be sent to the GNSO Council for consideration and replacing the terms “eligible organizations” with “Constituencies and Stakeholder Groups” along with making the change Steve suggested.
- With respect to principles: The OSC agreed that to the extent that elements of the work are distinct or not interdependent there is not reason not to send recommendations separately to the OSC.
- Updates from OSC Work Teams:
  - Olga noted that the deadline for the Work Team to review recommendations for Subtasks 1 and 3 was November 15 and that the Sub Team of Subtask 2 must review revised recommendations by 06 November.
  - Ray noted that in the current version of the revised GNSO Operating Procedures the Chair does not have to be a member of the Council. He added that some minor edits were left out of the version of the Operating Procedures that were submitted for Public Comment and that these will be restored following the Seoul meeting.
  - Mason noted that his Work Team will finalize its recommendations on 29 October and will submit them to the OSC on 30 October.
  - Tony Holmes requested that the Chairs should provide the OSC with timelines for completing their remaining tasks in the next few weeks.